

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,310	SINGH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743	

All Participants:

(1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

(2) Gary Connell

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
the scope of the claims

Claims discussed:
1 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Yelena Gakh
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Applicant agreed with the Examiner's Amendment, which incorporates the limitation of claim 4 into claim 1; the limitation of claim 22 into claim 18 along with limitations reciting acidic conditions for chromatography and sheath flow, in order to bring the scope of the claims in accordance to the specification and thus place the application into the condition for allowance.